	Case	2:12-cv-09732-GAF-RZ Document 63	File	d 03/14/14	Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:1007	
PLONSKER LAW LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES	1 2 3 4 5	PLONSKER LAW LLP Michael J. Plonsker (State Bar No. 1 MPlonsker@plonskerlaw.com Rex Glensy (State Bar No. 198909) RGlensy@plonskerlaw.com 1990 S. Bundy Drive, Suite 280 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Telephone: 310-861-2050 Facsimile: 310-496-2577	012	35)	JS-6	
	6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs HANK AZARIA and HOW TO PICTURES, INC.  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
	8					
	9					
	10					
	11	HANIZ AZADIA'. 1' 'I . 1 1		Cara Na	12 00722 CAE (D7)	
	12	HANK AZARIA, an individual, ar HOW TO PICTURES, INC., a California corporation,	1	Case No. 12-cv-09732-GAF-(RZx) Hon. Gary A. Feess		
	13	Plaintiffs,		JUDGMENT		
	14					
	15 16	v. CRAIG BIERKO, an individual,		Date: Time: Room:	February 24, 2014 9:30 a.m 740	
	17					
	18	Defendant.				
	19 20					
	20					
	22					
	23					
	24					
	25					
	26					
	27					
	28					
	20	LA12CV09732GAF-JGM JS-6 AZARIA - 1721 - Proposed Judgment.doc		-1-	HID GIATIVE	

JUDGMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plaintiffs Hank Azaria ("Azaria") and How To Pictures, Inc.'s ("How To") (collectively "Plaintiffs") motion for summary judgment, or, in the alternative, partial summary judgment against Defendant Craig Bierko ("Bierko" or "Defendant") on both claims for declaratory relief came regularly for consideration before the Court pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Motion for Summary Judgment").

After reviewing all the moving, opposition, and reply papers, and the evidence submitted by the parties and objections pertaining thereto, the Court, on February 21, 2014, issued an order GRANTING Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment. Pursuant to said order, the Court hereby finds:

There are no genuine issues of material fact as to both declaratory relief claims set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint, and therefore Plaintiffs are entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law.

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED on the grounds stated in the Court's February 21, 2014, order, a copy of which is attached hereto.
- 2. The Court finds on the first declaratory relief claim that:
  - a. the Jim Brockmire character is copyrightable subject matter,
  - b. Bierko's Baseball Announcer Character is not copyrightable subject matter,
  - c. How To is the copyright owner of the Jim Brockmire character, and
  - d. Bierko has no right, title, or interest in the Jim Brockmire character.

26 //

//

27

28

Local Rule 54-1, Plaintiffs are entitled to costs.

The Court finds on the second declaratory relief claim that no contract (implied or express) was ever formed between Azaria and Bierko pertaining to anything regarding Bierko's baseball announcer voice.
 Pursuant to Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: March 14, 2014

Hon. Gary A. Feess United States District Judge

- 3 -